



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,730	02/23/2004	Mario I. Wolczko	SUN030248	9462
33438	7590	04/19/2006	EXAMINER	
HAMILTON & TERRILE, LLP				JOHNSON, BRIAN P
P.O. BOX 203518				
AUSTIN, TX 78720				
				ART UNIT
				PAPER NUMBER
				2183

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/784,730	WOLCZKO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Brian P. Johnson	2183	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 November 2004.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ .
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: ____ .

1. Claims 1-18 have been examined.

Acknowledgment of papers filed: oath, specification, drawings, and IDS, on February 23rd, 2004. The papers filed have been placed on record.

Specification

2. The title is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chrysos (U.S. Patent No. 6,148,396).

5. Regarding claims 1 and 6, Chrysos discloses a method of linking control transfer information with sampling information (col 5 lines 40-42) for instructions executing in a processor (col 2 line 17) comprising: storing information relating to execution events (col 1 lines 28-31); selecting an instruction for sampling (col 5 lines 39-42); storing information relating to the instruction for sampling (col 5 lines 44-48); freezing the

information relating to execution events when the information relating to the instruction for sampling is to be reported to provide frozen execution event information (col 5 lines 45-58);

Note that the "frozen" information is considered to be the profile information saved into the registers.

Reporting the information relating to the instruction for sampling; and, enabling access to the frozen execution event information (col 6 lines 41-44).

6. Regarding claims 2 and 7, Chrysos discloses the method of claims 1 and 6 further comprising: freezing the execution event information provides information to enable reconstructing an execution path of events adjoining the instruction (col 6 lines 42-45).

7. Regarding claims 3 and 8, Chrysos discloses the method of claims 1 and 6 wherein: the storing information relating to execution events and the storing information relating to the instruction occur within separate structures of a processor (col 5 lines 45-48 and fig 3).

Note that the citation discloses that the profile information is saved in a set of internal profile registers.

8. Regarding claims 4 and 9, Chrysos discloses the method of claims 1 and 6 wherein: the freezing the information relating to execution events disables storing of additional information relating to execution events (col 5 lines 45-48).

Note that this claims appears to be referring to paragraph 28 of Applicant's specification. Paragraph 28 states that profile information is not overwritten in certain circumstances. Note that line 47 particularly states that the information is "accumulated" rather than updated/removed.

9. Regarding claims 5 and 10, Chrysos discloses the method of claims 1 and 6 further comprising: enabling storing information relating to execution events occurring after execution of the instruction for sampling (col 9 lines 48-50 or col 5 lines 60-62).

Note that the retired information can be considered to be information relating to execution events occurring after execution of the instruction (for sampling or otherwise).

10. Regarding claim 11, Chrysos discloses a processor (col 2 line 17) comprising: an instruction pipeline (col 2 lines 25-26); a sampling mechanism coupled to the instruction pipeline (fig 3--in combination with additional processor circuitry), the sampling mechanism selecting an instruction for sampling and storing information relating to the instruction for sampling (col 5 lines 44-48); a history queue coupled to the pipeline, the history queue (fig 3)

Note that, in view of paragraph [0007] of Applicant's specification, the "history queue" appears to be a mechanism "which records most recent control transfers", which happens to be the case with the mechanism shown in figure 3 of Chrysos.

Storing information relating to execution events (col 11 lines 30-32), the history queue freezing the information relating to execution events when the information relating to the instruction for sampling is to be reported to provide frozen execution event information (col 5 lines 44-48) so as to enable linking control transfer information with sampling information for instructions executing in the processor (col 5 lines 54-62).

11. Regarding claim 12, Chrysos discloses the processor of claim 11 wherein: the sampling mechanism reports the information relating to the instruction for sampling (col 5 lines 44-48).

Note that the "sampling mechanism" is considered to be the mechanism used to complete the sampling functionality specified in the citation.

12. Regarding claim 13, Chrysos discloses the processor of claim 11 wherein: the history queue enables access to the frozen execution event information (col 6 lines 41-44).

13. Regarding claim 14, Chrysos discloses the processor of claim 11 wherein: freezing the execution event information provides information to enable reconstructing an execution path of events adjoining the instruction (col 5 lines 54-60).

14. Regarding claim 15, Chrysos discloses the processor of claim 11 wherein: freezing the information relating to execution events disables storing of additional information relating to execution events (col 5 lines 45-48).

Note: see claim 4.

15. Regarding claim 16, Chrysos discloses the processor of claim 11 wherein: the history queue stores information relating to execution events occurring after execution of the instruction for sampling (col 5 lines 60-62).

Note that information related to "whether the instruction was retired or abored" is determined after execution.

16. Regarding claim 17, Chrysos discloses a method of monitoring control transfer information for instructions executing in a processor (col 5 lines 44-48) comprising: storing information relating to execution events (col 5 lines 44-48); freezing the information relating to execution events when the information relating to the instruction is to be reported to provide frozen execution event information (col 5 lines 54-62); and, enabling access to the frozen execution event information (col 6 lines 41-44).

17. Regarding claim 18, Chrysos discloses the method of claim 17 wherein: the freezing occurs based upon an instruction sample being reported (col 5 lines 44-46).

Conclusion

18. The following is text cited from 37 CFR 1.11(c): In amending in reply to a rejection of claims in an application or patent under reexamination, the applicant or patent owner must clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. The applicant or patent owner must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian P. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2678. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Chan can be reached on (571) 272-4162. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eddie Chan
EDDIE CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100